

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

INFORMED CONSENT ACTION NETWORK,  
2025 Guadalupe Street, Suite 260  
Austin, Texas 78705

Plaintiff,

-against-

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC  
ADMINISTRATION,  
1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Room 5128  
Washington, DC 20230

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 1:24-cv-1898

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Informed Consent Action Network (“**ICAN**” or “**Plaintiff**”) brings this action against defendant National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (“**NOAA**” or “**Defendant**”) to compel compliance with the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552 (“**FOIA**”). As grounds therefor, Plaintiff alleges as follows:

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
2. Venue is proper in this district pursuant 5 U.S.C. § 522(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

**PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff ICAN is a not-for-profit organization formed and existing under the laws of the state of Texas, with its principal office located at 2025 Guadalupe Street, Suite 260, Austin, Texas 78705. Plaintiff is in good standing with the Texas Secretary of State.

4. Defendant NOAA is an agency within the Executive Branch of the United States Government, organized within the Department of Commerce. NOAA is an agency within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(f). NOAA has possession, custody, and control of records to which Plaintiff seeks access.

**STATEMENT OF FACTS**

5. On February 23, 2024, Plaintiff sent a FOIA request to NOAA seeking copies of the following records:

All communications sent or received by Daniel Murphy, Maya Abou-Ghanem, Karl D. Froyd, Michael J. Lawler, Christopher Maloney, and Gregory P. Schill from January 1, 2019, through the date of the search that contain the term(s) “aluminum”, “Al”, “lead”, “Pb”, “copper”, and/or “Cu”.

**(Exhibit 1.)**

6. On February 23, 2024, Plaintiff’s request was assigned confirmation ID 1085451.

**(Exhibit 2.)**

7. As of the date of this Complaint, Defendant has failed to: (i) acknowledge the request; (ii) determine whether to comply with the request; (iii) notify Plaintiff of any such determination or the reasons therefor; or (iv) produce the requested records or otherwise demonstrate that the requested records are exempt from disclosure.

**COUNT I**  
**FAILURE TO MAKE DETERMINATION BY REQUIRED DEADLINE**  
**(VIOLATION OF FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552)**

8. Plaintiff realleges the previous paragraphs as if fully stated herein.
9. Defendant is in violation of FOIA.
10. Defendant was required to make a final determination on Plaintiff's request no later than twenty (20) business days from receipt of the request. Because Defendant failed to make a final determination on Plaintiff's request within the time limits set by FOIA, Plaintiff is deemed to have exhausted its administrative appeal remedies.
11. Plaintiff is being irreparably harmed by reason of Defendant's violation of FOIA and Plaintiff will continue to be irreparably harmed unless Defendant is compelled to comply with the law.
12. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

**COUNT II**  
**FAILURE TO PROVIDE AN ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE**  
**(VIOLATION OF FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552)**

13. Plaintiff realleges the previous paragraphs as if fully stated herein.
14. Defendant failed to provide an estimated date on which the agency would complete its action on the request.
15. Defendant is in violation of FOIA.

**COUNT III**  
**ENTITLEMENT TO WAIVER OF SEARCH FEES**

16. Plaintiff realleges the previous paragraphs as if fully stated herein.

17. Plaintiff sought a waiver of fees. Defendant failed, within 20 days, to produce the requested records and otherwise failed to comply with the statutory requirements of 5 U.S.C. § 522 within the time limits set forth therein.

18. Defendant is in violation of FOIA.

19. Plaintiff is entitled to a waiver of fees pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(viii).

**REQUESTED RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:

a. Declare that Defendant's current and continued delay in processing Plaintiff's FOIA Request is unlawful under FOIA;

b. Order Defendant to conduct searches for any and all records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request and demonstrate that it employed search methods reasonably likely to lead to the discovery of records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request;

c. Order Defendant to produce, by a date certain, any and all non-exempt records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request and a *Vaughn* index of any responsive records withheld under any claimed exemption;

d. Enjoin Defendant from continuing to withhold any and all non-exempt records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request;

e. Maintain jurisdiction over this action until Defendant complies with FOIA and all orders of this Court;

f. Grant Plaintiff an award of attorneys' fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred in this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E);

- g. Grant Plaintiff a fee waiver pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(viii); and
- h. Grant Plaintiff such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: June 28, 2024

SIRI & GLIMSTAD LLP

/s/ Elizabeth A. Brehm  
Elizabeth A. Brehm, Esq.  
DC Bar No. NY0532  
745 Fifth Ave  
Suite 500  
New York, New York 10151  
Tel: (240) 732-6737  
[ebrehm@sirillp.com](mailto:ebrehm@sirillp.com)

Catherine Ybarra, Esq.\*  
CA Bar No. 283360  
700 S Flower Street  
Suite 1000  
Los Angeles, CA 90017  
Tel: (240) 732-6737  
[cybarra@sirillp.com](mailto:cybarra@sirillp.com)

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

*\*Pro hac vice application to be submitted.*