

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

INFORMED CONSENT ACTION NETWORK,
2025 Guadalupe Street, Suite 260
Austin, Texas 78705

Plaintiff,

-against-

NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH,
c/o U.S. Department of Health, General Counsel
200 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20201

-and-

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,
200 Independence Avenue S.W.
Washington, DC 20201

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:25-cv-1964

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Informed Consent Action Network (“**ICAN**” or “**Plaintiff**”) brings this action against defendants National Institutes of Health (“**NIH**”) and the United States Department of Health and Human Services (“**HHS**”) (collectively “**Defendants**”) to compel compliance with the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552 (“**FOIA**”). As grounds therefore, Plaintiff alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

2. Venue is proper in this district pursuant 5 U.S.C. § 522(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff ICAN is a not-for-profit organization formed and existing under the laws of the state of Texas, with its principal office located at 2025 Guadalupe Street, Suite 260, Austin, Texas 78705. Plaintiff is in good standing with the Texas Secretary of State.

4. Defendant NIH is an agency within the Executive Branch of the United States Government and is a part of HHS. NIH is an agency within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(f). NIH has possession, custody, and control of records to which Plaintiff seeks access.

5. Defendant HHS is an agency within the Executive Branch of the United States Government. HHS is an agency within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(f) and has possession, custody, and control of records to which Plaintiff seeks access.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

6. On October 25, 2023, Plaintiff sent a FOIA request to NIH seeking copies of the following records:

All email communications including email attachments sent or received by Tatiana Beresnev, Erica Sondergaard, Lawrence Tabak, Francis Collins, and/or Walter Koroshetz between March 20, 2021 and April 30, 2021, containing the terms: reactogenicity, self-reporting, and/or neuropathy.

(Exhibit 1.)

7. Defendant NIH acknowledged Plaintiff's FOIA request on October 25, 2023, and the request was assigned 60898. **(Exhibit 2.)**

8. As of the date of this Complaint, Defendants have failed to: (i) determine whether to comply with the request as required under 5 U.S.C. § 552(6)(A)(i); (ii) notify Plaintiff of any such determination or the reasons therefor as required under § 552(6)(A)(i)(I); (iii) advise Plaintiff

of the right to appeal any adverse determination not to comply with the request, as required under § 552(6)(A)(i)(III)(aa); or (iv) produce the requested records or otherwise demonstrate that the requested records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to § 552(b).

COUNT I
FAILURE TO MAKE DETERMINATION BY REQUIRED DEADLINE
(VIOLATION OF FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552)

9. Plaintiff realleges the previous paragraphs as if fully stated herein.

10. Defendants are in violation of FOIA.

11. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i) requires Defendants to make a final determination on Plaintiff's request no later than twenty (20) business days from acknowledgement of the request. Because Defendants failed to make a final determination on Plaintiff's request within the time limits set by FOIA, under § 552(6)(C)(i) Plaintiff is deemed to have exhausted its administrative appeal remedies.

12. Plaintiff is being irreparably harmed by reason of Defendants' violation of FOIA and Plaintiff will continue to be irreparably harmed unless Defendants are compelled to comply with the law.

13. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

COUNT II
FAILURE TO PROVIDE AN ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE
(VIOLATION OF FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552)

14. Plaintiff realleges the previous paragraphs as if fully stated herein.

15. Defendants are in violation of FOIA.

16. Despite Plaintiff's request for an estimated date on which the agency would complete its action on the request, the agency never provided one.

COUNT III
IMPROPER WITHHOLDING OF INFORMATION AND DATA
(VIOLATION OF FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552)

17. Plaintiff realleges the previous paragraphs as if fully stated herein.

18. Defendants have failed to establish that they adequately applied an exemption to or adequately withheld any responsive records.

19. Defendants are in violation of FOIA.

COUNT IV
FAILURE TO ESTABLISH AN ADEQUATE SEARCH
(VIOLATION OF FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552)

20. Plaintiff realleges the previous paragraphs as if fully stated herein.

21. Defendants have failed to establish that they adequately searched for responsive records, as required by 5 U.S.C. § 552 (a)(3)(C) and (D).

22. Defendants are in violation of FOIA.

COUNT V
ENTITLEMENT TO WAIVER OF SEARCH AND DUPLICATION FEES

23. Plaintiff realleges the previous paragraphs as if fully stated herein.

24. Defendants are in violation of FOIA.

25. Plaintiff sought a waiver of search and duplication fees pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II).

26. Plaintiff is further entitled to a waiver of fees pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(viii) because Defendants failed, within 20 days, to produce the requested records and otherwise failed to comply with the statutory requirements of 5 U.S.C. § 522 within the time limits set forth therein.

REQUESTED RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:

- a. Declare that Defendants' current and continued delay in processing Plaintiff's FOIA request is unlawful under FOIA;
- b. Order Defendants to conduct searches for any and all records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request and demonstrate that they employed search methods reasonably likely to lead to the discovery of records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request;
- c. Order Defendants to produce, by a date certain, any and all non-exempt records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request and a *Vaughn* index of any responsive records withheld under any claimed exemption;
- d. Enjoin Defendants from continuing to withhold any and all non-exempt records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request;
- e. Maintain jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 552(a)(6)(C)(i) until Defendants comply with FOIA and all orders of this Court;
- f. Grant Plaintiff an award of attorneys' fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred in this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E);
- g. Grant Plaintiff a fee waiver pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(viii); and
- h. Grant Plaintiff such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: June 23, 2025

SIRI & GLIMSTAD LLP

/s/ Alycia A. Perkins

Alycia A. Perkins, DC Bar No. FL00146
745 Fifth Avenue, Suite 500
New York, New York 10151
Tel: (240) 732-6737
aperkins@sirillp.com

Elizabeth A. Brehm, DC Bar No. NY0532
745 Fifth Ave, Suite 500
New York, New York 10151
Tel: (240) 732-6737
ebrehm@sirillp.com